Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/667,010	HANSMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2431
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) ARAVIND K. MOORTHY.	(3)	
(2) Thomas Beck.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 25 March 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		·
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The attorney discussed the case with the examiner. The examiner notified the attorney that the amendment put the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
•		
/Aravind K Moorthy/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
V		2